

(126) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17093 of 2019
Date of decision: 27.11.2019

Anil Kumar Verma and Ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and anr.

.... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Inder Pal Goyat, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned Sr. DAG has filed reply stating that a Committee was constituted pursuant to orders of Hon'ble the Division Bench in LPA No.1773 of 2013.

[2] After receiving the report of the Committee, the matter was put up before the Competent Authority and after perusal of report, it was decided to hear the petitioners and all other similarly situated persons before taking any decision in the matter.

[3] Pursuant thereto, opportunity of hearing was afforded to 75 similarly situated persons while the petitioners have invoked the jurisdiction of this Court instead of availing of the opportunity of hearing.

[4] Learned Sr. DAG states that the writ petition is pre-mature and the petitioners be relegated to avail the opportunity of hearing granted to them and thereafter in case any cause arises, they may seek redress qua their grievances, if any.

[5] Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for permission to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file fresh one, if cause arises after decision of the Committee.

[6] Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

27.11.2019
amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.