

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27183-2019(O&M)
Date of Order:21.06.2019**

BHUPINDER KUMAR

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sukhvinder Singh Chatrath, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been falsely implicated on the statement of the co-accused.

From the reading of the order passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, it is apparent that during investigation it was found that the petitioner had sold the mobile phone in question on payment of Rs.30,000/- but on account of failure to supply invoice, Karanpal had returned the mobile phone.

Keeping in view the fact that the investigation is in progress and the petitioner is required to join the investigation, hence, no ground is made out to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

**June 21, 2019
nt**

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No