

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17036-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:12.07.2019

Nitika and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petitioners have sought a mandamus for directing the official respondents to take necessary steps for protection of their life and liberty by voicing an apprehension that they faced a threat to the same at the hands of the private respondents who happen to be the immediate and close relatives of petitioners.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Sarabjit Singh, PS Kotwali, Nabha, District Patiala, apprises the Court that the statements of the petitioners as also private respondents have been recorded and as per such statement the matrimonial alliance between the parties is not being opposed. He submits that under such circumstances there would be no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

This also perhaps explains the non-appearance of the counsel for the petitioners.

No further directions are required to be passed in the instant writ petition.

Writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

July 12, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No