

**107 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 17022 of 2019 (O&M)**

Date of decision : June 21, 2019

Amanpreet Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL**

Present: Ms. Monika Mehta, Advocate for  
Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

**LISA GILL, J.**

Petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9 and other relatives because they have married each other on 18.06.2019 against the wishes of the said respondents.

Both the petitioners present in Court, duly identified by their counsel, on being asked submit that they have solemnized marriage with each other out of their own free will and volition. Petitioners state that this is their first marriage.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their date of birth being 20.03.1999 and 24.11.1995, respectively. True copies of Aadhaar Cards of both the petitioners are appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2, respectively. The Aadhaar Cards (Annexures P1 and P2) do not reflect the exact date of birth, though the year of birth of petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2 i.e. 1999 and 1995 is mentioned. A copy of the photographs of the marriage and the Marriage Certificate are on record as

Annexures P3 and P4, respectively. Petitioners, it is stated, submitted representation dated 18.06.2019 (Annexure P5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala for redressal of their grievance but no action has been taken thereon.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, on the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copies of the petition have been handed over to learned counsel for the State.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates/documents appended with the petition, respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala is directed to look into representation dated 18.06.2019 (Annexure P5) submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action if any/as may be required, keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

June 21, 2019  
rts

**(Lisa Gill)**  
**Judge**

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable :        | Yes/No |