

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27164-2019

Date of Decision: 18.07.2019

Vikas @ Vicky

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sachin Dhull, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Though learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR, learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Krishan Kumar, submits that as a matter of fact the petitioner has been wrongly shown to be Vicky son of Ranjit Jaat in the FIR, whereas he is actually Vicky son of Jai Bhagwan.

Other than that learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with the petitioner having undergone more than 01 year and 10 months of actual custody and with the complainant and the eye witness also having deposed before the trial Court and having been declared to be hostile, there would be no reason to keep the petitioner in custody during the pendency of the trial.

Upon specific query to learned State counsel, he, again on instruction from SI Krishan Kumar, submits that the remaining 29 witnesses are all police officials.

That being so and with no other criminal case shown to be registered against the petitioner, as per the custody certificate filed in Court today by learned State counsel, and he having undergone more than 01 year and 10 months of actual custody, the petition is allowed, with him to be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

July 18, 2019
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.