

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27106-2019
Date of decision: 08.08.2019**

Rajinder Singh @ Rajinder Kumar @ Raju

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- None.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in DDR No. 36 dated 03.03.2019, under Sections 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) in FIR No. 11 dated 03.03.2019, registered under Sections 324, 323, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC (Sections 452 and 326 of the IPC added later on) at Police Station Khuhi Khera, District Fazilka.

Vide order dated 20.06.2019, the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail noticing the fact this is a case of version and cross-version and Section 326 IPC was added later on and the injury is on the non-vital part of the body.

Since the Bar is on strike for the last about twelve days and there is no representation on either of the parties, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 20.06.2019, is made absolute subject to the condition that if the petitioner is required for further investigation, he will appear before the Investigating Officer on the notice given by him and the petitioner will also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner is found misusing the concession of anticipatory bail, it will be open for the prosecution/complainant to apply for recalling of the order.

08.08.2019

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No