

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-11956-2016(O&M)
Date of decision-02.04.2019**

Bikram Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Maloo Chahal, D.A.G., Punjab.

None for respondent Nos.4 to 7.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 04.12.2013 (Annexure P-9) vide which the claim of the petitioner for grant of Promotional Scale on Promotion has been declined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-The Chief Engineer, Irrigation Works, Punjab, Shahpurkandi, Pathankot to consider and decide representation dated 30.01.2015 (Annexure P-11) expeditiously.

Heard.

Learned State counsel states that he does not have any objection to the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Chief Engineer, Irrigation Works, Punjab, Shahpurkandi, Pathankot to consider and decide the representation dated 30.01.2015 (Annexure P-11) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

02.04.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No