

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16889 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision:-19.06.2019

Ram Chander

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking a direction to the official respondents to release him on parole on account of marriage of nephew which is to be solemnized on 21.06.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the order passed by the competent authority on 23.05.2019 (Annexure P-1) whereby the petitioner has been ordered to be released temporarily from custody for a period of two weeks i.e. from 08.07.2019 to 21.07.2019. He contends that there is an error in the order as the petitioner had applied for parole to attend the marriage of his nephew which is to be solemnized on 21.06.2019. He further contends that eligibility of the petitioner for temporary release is not in question.

Issue notice to the respondents.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents. A complete copy of the petition already stands furnished.

Learned State counsel is not able to controvert the submissions of

learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had indeed prayed for temporary release from custody from 20.06.2019 to 03.07.2019.

It is thus apparent that there is an error in the order releasing the petitioner on furlough for a period of two weeks..

The instant petition is accordingly disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to temporary release the petitioner for a period of two weeks i.e. from 20.06.2019 to 03.07.2019 instead of 08.07.2019 to 21.07.2019.

Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

19.06.2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No