

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-2608-2018(O&M)

Date of Decision:-16.01.2019

Rahul Sharma @ Deepu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Addl. P.P. U.T. Chandigarh.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. By way of filing this revision petition, the petitioner challenges judgment dated 2.8.2018 passed by learned Additional Sessions-cum-Judge Special Court, Chandigarh whereby his conviction for offences under Sections 323, 325 & 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 recorded by learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh has been upheld.
2. When this matter was taken up for preliminary hearing on 10.08.2018, it was represented that the parties have amicably resolved the matter and have entered into compromise. Consequently the parties were directed to

appear before the learned Lower Appellate Court, so as to get their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise.

3. Report of learned Additional Sessions Judge-cum-Judge Special Court, Chandigarh has been received to the effect that statements of the complainant Ajay Bhardwaj as well as of the petitioner/accused Rahul Sharma have been recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter voluntarily without there being any pressure or undue influence.
4. In view of the aforesaid position and also bearing in mind the fact that offences in question are compoundable, the petition is hereby accepted and the impugned judgments are hereby set aside in view of the aforesaid compromise and the petitioner is acquitted.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

16.01.2019
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No