

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

231

CWP No.11823-2016

Date of Decision: March 14, 2019

Hira Lal

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous so far as release of the pensionary benefits are concerned. He admits that during the pendency of the writ petition, all the benefits for which the petitioner was entitled for have been released to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that as there was delay in the release of the pensionary benefits, petitioner is entitled for the grant of interest hence the petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondents by filing an appropriate representation/legal notice for claiming the same. He request that in case, any such representation is raised by the petitioner, the respondents be directed to decide the claim expeditiously as the petitioner is an retired employee.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner seeking interest on the delayed payment, the same will be released to the petitioner within a period of two months from the

receipt of passing of speaking order as per settled principle of law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the above, he does not want to pursue the petition any further.

The present writ petition is disposed of as not pressed.

March 14, 2019
sonia arora

[HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No