

CRM-M-26845-2019 and
CRM-M-36362-2019

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26845-2019
Date of Decision: 19.10.2019

Rajesh Dhawan @ Sonu

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CRM-M-36362-2019

Lakhveer Singh @ Lakhi

.....Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. D.N. Ganeriwala, Advocate, with
Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-26845-2019).

Mr. Yashpal Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-36362-2019).

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Though learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioners in these petitions do not deserve the concession of bail considering the fact that money transactions were shown to have been made between them and the prime accused from whom the contraband was found to have been recovered (as per the case of the prosecution), i.e. Gurpal Singh, simply keeping in view the fact that the petitioners have been in custody for the past more than 06 months, with the trial

still to effectively commence, with no prosecution witness having been examined so far, and no actual recovery of contraband is shown to have been made from them, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioners, the petitions are allowed. The petitioners shall be admitted to bail upon their furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

It is made clear that this order will not be interpreted in any manner as giving a clean chit to the petitioners, or otherwise.

October 19, 2019

nitin/dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes

No.