

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-2386-2018(O&M)
Date of decision: 21.11.2019**

Sunita

.....Petitioner

Versus

Partap @ Jaipan and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Surender Saini, Advocate for the petitioner
ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

This revision petition has been filed against order of discharge passed by the Court of Sessions.

Respondent-accused is father of the deceased. Petitioner herein is daughter-in-law who accuses that her husband had committed suicide on account of abetment by her father-in-law. The allegations are that husband of the petitioner was feeling harassed as the respondent No.1 was refusing to give his due share in the property according to his proportion and rights. Learned Additional Sessions Judge on appreciation of the material collected has formed an opinion that ingredients of Section 107 IPC, defining abetment, are not made out.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, although made sincere attempts, however, fails to draw attention of the Court to any substantive error or perversity in the order.

Hence, dismissed.

21.11. 2019

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Yes / No

Yes / No