

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 11.07.2019

CWP No.16778 of 2019

Sulakshana Devi

...Petitioner

Vs.

Chandigarh Administration & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sumit Saddi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Points out to an incorrect fact recorded after perusal of the file in the 7th paragraph of the order dated 31.05.2019 passed by the Additional District Judge, Chandigarh that stay application was dismissed on 04.12.2018. No such order was passed asserts the counsel. This plea has been set up as a ground in paragraph 16 of this petition.

Mention of a wrong fact may be regrettable, but supervening events are that the petitioner has already vacated the government accommodation allotted to her, which renders the instant petition infructuous.

Dismissed as such.

11.07.2019
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No