

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

CRR No. 844 of 2017

Date of decision: 18.01.2019

Vikas Bhandari

...Petitioner

Vs.

Chuni Lal and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Hemant Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet Multani, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kiran Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J.(ORAL)

Proxy counsel made a request for adjournment on the ground that arguing counsel is out of station. However, the same is rejected in view of the provisions under Section 378(4) Cr.P.C., whereby appeal is maintainable before this Court against a judgment of acquittal of private complaint and not the revision. Therefore, the instant petition is maintainable.

Dismissed.

January 18, 2019
Poonam Sharma

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No