

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-16779-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.07.2019

Avinash Goyal

--Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Vineet Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Counsel at the very outset makes a statement at the bar that the Punjab Civil Service (Punishment & Appeal) Rules, 1970 apply even to the employees of the PUNSUP including the petitioner. He submits against the impugned order at Annexure P-36 there would a statutory remedy of appeal available to the petitioner and which the petitioner wishes to avail of. Counsel, accordingly, prays for withdrawal of the writ petition with liberty to file an appeal against the impugned order.

In view of the statement suffered by counsel and without even examining the issue with regard to maintainability of appeal, instant petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not examined the impugned order at Annexure P-36 on merits.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

12.07.2019

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No