

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26779-2019

Date of decision: 26.08.2019

Rakesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.83 dated 20.03.2019 under Sections 420, 467, 468 IPC, registered at Police Station Ladwa, District Kurukshetra.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 03.07.2019: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the primary allegations are against co-accused Parveen Kumar, who was granted interim anticipatory bail on 11.04.2019 by passing the following order in CRM-M-16687-2019:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working as contractual employee for the last

10 years in Municipal Committee, Ladwa, when his services were terminated on 29.09.2017 and thereafter, he filed CWP-26033-2017, which was allowed vide order dated 23.01.2019 along with costs of Rs.25,000/- and the Municipal Committee was directed to reinstate the petitioner, however, the Secretary failed to comply with the order and the petitioner had to file COCP-710-2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that as per allegations in the FIR, there are some bogus entries in the computer, by tempering with the record and since the petitioner is out of job w.e.f. 01.10.2017, it is yet to be ascertained as to who was incharge of the computer, from where the tempering was done.

Notice of motion for 02.05.2019.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that vide order of the even date passed in CRM-M-16687-2019, the interim anticipatory bail, granted to co-accused Parveen Kumar, has been made absolute by this Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that allegations against the petitioner are that he is running the business of doing photocopies of the documents and has allegedly prepared a file and given the same to co-accused Parveen Kumar....”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for

any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ram Parkash, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 03.07.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

26.08.2019

vishnu

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No