

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 16716 OF 2019

DATE OF DECISION: JULY 01, 2019

Rajiv Singla

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.Nitin Sachdeva, Advocate for
Mr.Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate for the petitioner.

<><><>

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

Petitioner seeks a mandamus for directing the official respondents to provide adequate security by voicing an apprehension and threat to life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 9.

Learned counsel would submit that on different occasions, sums of money as also cheques were advanced to the private respondents on the allurement that such money would be doubled in a short span of time. Further submitted that when the petitioner asked the private respondents to return the money, as also the cheques, he had been threatened and even a revolver was trained upon him.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is of the considered view that the instant writ petition is not well-founded.

Apparently, there are certain money transactions between the petitioner and private respondents. Learned counsel has not been able to satisfy this Court with regard to the objective of having advanced money if at all given. It has been stated that no written agreement at any point of time was entered into between the parties.

The averments on record are not sufficient for this Court to infer any threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

No interference in the writ petition is warranted.

Petition dismissed.

JULY 01, 2019
SRM

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

<i>Note:</i>	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
	<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>