

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

**CRM-M-26711 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.06.2019**

Vijay Kumar Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Dev Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has only scribed the sale deed and he is neither beneficiary nor witness to the sale deed. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the petitioner being a scribe was required to verify the title of the vendor. It is debatable whether a scribe is required to verify the title of the vendor before scribing a sale deed.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2), Cr.P.C.

Disposed of.

20.06.2019

D.Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No