

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 26754 of 2019
Date of Decision:-20.11.2019

Sonu Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. Ramandeep Singh Pandher, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

Petitioner-Sonu Ram has filed the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 126 dated 02.7.2018, under Section 307 read with Section 34 IPC (later on Section 302 IPC read with Section 34 IPC added), registered at Police Station Sadar Patiala, District Patiala.

On 11.7.2019, this Court had passed the following order :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after the alleged occurrence when the victim was taken to the hospital, it was mentioned that it was an act of self-immolation on the part of the complainant, namely, Bhagat Singh. It is pointed out that there was a matrimonial dispute between the complainant and his wife (daughter of the petitioner) and the complainant had come to the house of the petitioner to meet his wife. He further contends that after the death of the victim, the offence punishable under Section 302 IPC was added.

However, after investigation, the final report was submitted under Section 306 IPC against Rajwinder Kaur-wife of the victim alone whereas petitioner was found innocent. He submits that after committal of the case, trial Court had taken cognizance under Section 193 Cr.P.C vide order dated 15.05.2019, by summoning him as an accused.

Notice of motion for 30.07.2019.

Meanwhile, in case the petitioner puts in appearance before the trial Court on 16.07.2019, the date already fixed, the trial Court shall admit him on interim bail subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds and surety bonds to its satisfaction.”

In compliance of the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the trial Court on 16.7.2019 and furnished the requisite bail bonds and surety bonds, which were accepted by the trial Court. Copy of the order dated 16.7.2019 passed by the trial Court has been placed on record.

This fact is not disputed by learned State counsel, who is assisted by ASI Jarnail Singh.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and it is ordered that the petitioner shall remain on bail and on the same bail bonds and surety bonds already furnished by him before the trial Court on 16.7.2019.

November 20, 2019
Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No