

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11434 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.04.2019.

Lekhraj

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karamjit Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Varun Goyal, Advocate,
for the respondents.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition is to restore the porter licence of the petitioner as stood in the year 2008 (Annexure P-1) which was suspended/cancelled on account of FIR No. 39 dated 05.06.2008 registered under Sections 419, 420, 466, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC at Police Station GRP, Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Senior Division, Commercial Manager, Northern Railway, Ferozepur Division, Ferozepur to consider and decide notice dated 10.03.2015 (Annexure P-4).

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents states that he is not averse to the prayer made by the

learned counsel for the petitioner.

Without adverting to the merits of the case, respondent No.2-Senior Division, Commercial Manager, Northern Railway, Ferozepur Division, Ferozpur is directed to consider and decide the legal notice dated 10.03.2015 (Annexure P-4), within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

22.04.2019.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No