

**CRM-M-26630-2019**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26630-2019**

**Date of Decision: 17.07.2019**

Sandeep @ Chotu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singh Jattan, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Eklavya Gupta, Advocate,  
for the complainant.

**INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in a case FIR No.274 dated 18.09.2018, registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, District Ambala, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 341, 307, 506, 216, 120-B and 379-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

**CRM-M-26630-2019**

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the present petitioner is not named in the FIR and no specific injury is attributed to him.

As per learned State counsel, face of the petitioner cannot be seen even in the mobile video as the other persons were in muffled faces. Learned State counsel also submits that there is no injury declared as dangerous to life by the doctor.

The petitioner is in custody since 19.04.2019. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case may take a long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**17.07.2019**

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes  
Whether reportable : No