

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(214)

CWP-12312-2015

Date of Decision: November 18, 2019

Krishana Kumari

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shailendra Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly states that during the pendency of the writ petition, the benefits for which the petitioner approached this Court, have already been released except interest on the delayed payments.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondents raising her claim for the grant of interest by filing an appropriate representation keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by the Full Bench of this Court in **A.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab, 1997(3) S.C.T. 468.**

Learned counsel for the respondents states that in case, any such representation is received for the grant of interest, the same will be considered as per the instructions which have been issued by the Government of Haryana in that regard coupled with the settled principle of law as notice above and appropriate orders will be passed within a period of three months from the receipt of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement given by learned counsel for the respondents, he does not wish to press this writ petition any further.

Ordered accordingly.

November 18, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No