

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26611-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 02.07.2019

Binder

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rahul Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Pal Singh Tinna, Additional A.G. Punjab
alongwith ASI Davinder Pal.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the petition is to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.333 dated 27.10.2018 registered under Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Division No.7, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that role of the petitioner is similar to co-accused Dainy who has been granted anticipatory bail by the Coordinate Bench in CRM-M-51293-2018. He further submits that no recovery is to be made from the petitioner and petitioner was not arrested from the spot.

Learned counsel for the State is unable to distinguish the role of the petitioner as well as Dainy in the alleged commission of crime at this stage.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of.

In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

02.07.2019

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No