

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 17.01.2019

CWP No.7830 of 2009

Ashwani Kumar Gandotra

...Petitioner

Vs.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Patiala & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Brajesh Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.2.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

The Rule does not permit house rent allowance to a person whose wife has been allotted government accommodation and they reside together. The petitioner works for the Punjab School Education Board, Mohali, while the official accommodation at Chandigarh has been given to his wife by her employer, the Bhakra Beas Management Board (BBMB).

The conclusion reached by the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Patiala in the order dated 16.03.2009 in proceedings under Section 33-C(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 declining the application for HRA does not suffer from any legal infirmity calling for interference by this Court. Accordingly, I find no merit in the instant petition and would hereby dismiss it by maintaining the impugned order.

17.01.2019
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No