

212.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26883-2019

Date of decision: 19.09.2019.

HARI BHAGAT BHADANA

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.98 dated 01.12.2018 registered under Sections 363/450/506 IPC at Women Police Station, NIT, Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Vide order dated 05.07.2019, while issuing notice of motion in the case, it was ordered that in case the petitioner appears before the trial Court within one week from that order, he shall be admitted on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Counsel for the petitioner states that investigation in the case was conducted and the petitioner was found innocent. It is on the basis of the

application filed by the prosecution under Section 319 Cr.P.C., the petitioner has been summoned to face trial.

Counsel further states that pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 05.07.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has been admitted on interim bail.

At this stage, counsel for the complainant has put in appearance and has filed his *vakalatnama*, which is taken on record. He states that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and he has wrongly been found innocent. He further states that challan has been presented against the similarly placed accused on the basis of FSL report where the petitioner has been specifically named.

Considering the fact that at this stage this Court is not observing anything on the merits of the case, but as the petitioner has appeared before the trial Court and has been admitted on interim bail, the order dated 05.07.2019 passed by this Court is made absolute.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

However, the petitioner shall continue to appear before the trial Court as and when required. He shall not influence the witness(s) directly or indirectly in any manner.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

19.09.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.