

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26622 of 2019(O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.09.2019

Chunni Lal and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nihul Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
seeking pre arrest bail in case FIR No.1013 dated 22.11.2018, under
Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471, 201 and 120-B of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 3 of the Haryana Protection of Depositors in FE
Act, 2013 registered at Police Station City Ballabgarh, District
Faridabad.

On 11.09.2019 the following order was passed by this
Court:

“Contentends that matter has been compromised between
the parties.

Adjourned to 18.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioners No.1 and 2 are directed to
join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the
event of their arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit
them to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on their
furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his
satisfaction. Petitioners No.1 and 2 are also directed to
abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section

438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the matter has been compromise between the parties. Further contends that in terms of the above order, petitioners have already joined investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from the police official has acknowledged the above factual position and stated that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is no longer required in the present case.

In view of the above, order dated 11.09.2019 is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off.

However, it is made clear that above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merit of the case pending before learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

September 18, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no