

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

248

**CRM-M-26587-2019
DATE OF DECISION: 05.07.2019**

MEHFOOZ AHMED

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.25 dated 29.01.2019, under Section 379-A IPC, registered at Police Station City Sohna, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR against the petitioner are that a mobile phone, car and cash of the complainant had been snatched but recovery which has been effected from the petitioner is only a mobile phone and Rs.500/-. He further states that the petitioner is 26 years old and not involved in any other case. He is in custody for almost 3 months.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Hari Singh, states that the investigation has been completed but no witness has been examined.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner is in custody for over 3 months, the petitioner is not involved in any other case and the conclusion of the trial is likely to

take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

05.07.2019

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No