

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(229)

CWP-11076-2016

Date of Decision: August 26, 2019

Pushpa Mehta

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakhshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

On 21.11.2018, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Then again on 29.03.2019, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the petitioner has already been asked to submit the bills for medical reimbursement, which were returned to her, which action has been challenged in the present writ petition. Counsel for the respondents further states that keeping in view the fact that Medanta-The Medicity Hospital was listed as an approved hospital with retrospective effect thereby entitling the benefit of medical reimbursement to the petitioner, in accordance with law but petitioner failed to submit the medical bills again despite request for the reason best known to her.

As there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, it can be safely presumed that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing this remedy any further.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is dismissed for

non-prosecution.

However, the petitioner will be at liberty to submit the bills for the medical reimbursement as being stated by counsel for the respondents and in case the same is done, the respondents will proceed in accordance with law and take appropriate decision within a period of three months of the submission of the bill by passing appropriate speaking order.

August 26, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No