

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217)

Crl. Misc. No.M-26645 of 2019(O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.09.2019

Rajinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajat Bansal, AAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, filed under the provisions of Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner points to the fact that even the complainant, i.e. the wife of the deceased, has not supported the case of the prosecution, as per her cross-examination as PW1, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition, with her having reiterated, even on re-examination, to the effect that the petitioner was not the person who had killed her husband.

The petitioner is also stated to have been in custody since 20.04.2018, with only 2 prosecution witnesses out of 16 examined so far.

In view of the above, I deem it appropriate to admit the petitioner to bail during the pendency of the trial.

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual

merit of the case, for or against the petitioner, this petition is allowed. The petitioner would be enlarged on bail, upon his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

13.09.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no