

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16712 of 2019
Date of Decision: 01.07.2019

Sh. Dharam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vikram Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the claim which is being made by the petitioner in the present writ petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court rendered in CWP No. 7855 of 2014, decided on 17.12.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has served the respondents with a legal notice dated 05.03.2019 (Annexure P-2) wherein a claim has been raised that the case of the petitioner for allowing him for a fresh option is similar to claim as raised in CWP No. 7855 of 2014 and other connected cases, which was allowed on 17.12.2018, hence similar benefit be extended to him but the respondents have not responded to the legal notice so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the legal notice by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the relief claimed in the present writ petition or in the legal notice, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 05.03.2019 (Annexure P-2) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

July 01, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes*
Whether reportable ? No