

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26519-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.07.2019

Gurjinder Pal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

HDFC Bank Limited

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. N.S. Chahal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the notice issued, Mr. Saurabh Bhardwaj, Advocate, appears for the respondent bank and has filed his power of attorney, which is taken on record.

He submits that as a matter of fact the matter has been completely settled between the petitioner and the bank.

That being so, the present petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 28.03.2019 (Annexure P-3), passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Bathinda, is set aside.

In the aforesaid circumstances, with the bank (complainant in the complaint filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881) left with no grievance against the petitioner, the arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed during the pendency of the appeal before the learned appellate court, in which learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has also moved an application seeking compounding of

the offence, in view of the compromise reached between the parties.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

16.07.2019

vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No