

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 26439 of 2019
Date of Decision:-02.09.2019

Satbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present:- Mr. Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANOJ BAJAJ J.(Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 56 dated 29.4.2019, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka. The petitioner apprehend his arrest at the hands of Police.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 06.6.2019 whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that co-accused Kulwant Ram and Ravinder Kuar have already been allowed ad interim anticipatory bail vide orders dated 16.05.2019 and 31.05.2019, respectively and that the case of the petitioner who happens to be the distant relative of the principal accused is not distinguishable from the above said co-accused.

Notice of motion for 19.08.2019.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall, however, join the investigation as and when called for to do so and he shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the Police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the Police Authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel who is assisted by HC Bhajan Lal does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. Learned counsel on instructions from HC Bhajan Lal further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 16.5.2019 is made absolute.

September 02, 2019
Vijay Asija

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No