

210

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26491 of 2019

Date of decision: August 29, 2019

Harpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in FIR No.44 dated 19.04.2019, under Sections 326, 324, 323, 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Shri Hargobindpur, District Batala.

As per prosecution case, petitioner armed with Baseball, along with other co-accused gave injuries to the complainant and her sister.

On 22.08.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“With the consent of learned counsel for both the parties, order dated 11.06.2019 is modified and the compensation amount mentioned therein is reduced to ₹40,000/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that an amount of ₹40,000/- by way of compensation has already been handed over to the complainant and this fact has been duly acknowledged by learned counsel for the complainant.

Adjourned to 29.08.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him has stated that in terms of order dated 22.08.2019, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 22.08.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

August 29, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No