

CWP-1243-2014

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-1243-2014

Date of Decision: April 04, 2019

Varun Malik

... Petitioner

vs.

Punjab University, Chandigarh and Anr

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Boparai, Advocate
for respondent No.1-University.

ARUN MONGA, J

Prayer in this writ petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing of advertisement No. 7/2013 (Annexure P11) issued by respondent No.1-University for the post of Assistant Professor being against the guidelines of respondent No.2-UGC and for directing the respondent-University to re-advertise the same.

2. Facts of the case are that Panjab University, Chandigarh, had advertised posts of Assistant Professors for various departments in the University and its Regional Centers, vide advertisement No. 07/2013, including 04 posts of Assistant Professors of Law at Punjab University S.S.Giri, Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur. The interested candidates appeared for the same and from amongst them, the Screening Committee shortlisted 68 candidates for the interview, including the petitioner.

3. Interview was held by the Selection Committee on 19, 20 and 21st of October, 2014 in the office of the Vice Chancellor, Panjab University, Chandigarh. The Selection Committee recommended 04 candidates for appointment to the post of Assistant Professor of Law at

CWP-1243-2014

-2-

P.U. S.S.Giri, Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur, on the basis of their academic record, research performance, assessment of domain knowledge, teaching skills and their actual performance in the interview.

4. Accordingly, the Selection Committee recommended Dr. Sunaina, Dr. Ritu Salaria, Dr. Brajesh Sharma and Dr. Dharam Pal Singh Punia for appointment as Assistant Professor of Law at P.U. S.S.Giri, Regional Centre, Hoshiarpur. The recommendations of the Selection Committee were approved by the Syndicate on 22.11.2014, in anticipation of approval from the Senate. They were issued appointment letters on 30.11.2014 and they consequently joined w.e.f. 01.12.2014. On 14.12.2014, the Senate approved the recommendations of the Syndicate and these teachers have been confirmed by the University.

5. There is no appearance from the petitioner's side.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for respondent No.1 and perused the record.

7. Learned counsel for respondent-University submits that the present petition is rendered infructuous, as pursuant to the impugned advertisement, not only the entire selection process has completed but also the petitioner was found ineligible. He further submits that neither the petitioner has challenged his ineligibility nor even otherwise he has challenged the selection, as aforesaid.

8. In view of the same, the present writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

April 04, 2019
smriti

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No