

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 16307 of 2019

Date of Decision:23.07.2019

Preeti and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petitioners had filed the instant petition praying for a mandamus for directing the official respondents to protect their life and liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 12.

It was pleaded in the petition that the petitioners have entered into matrimony against the wishes of their relatives and it is under these circumstances that they feel threatened.

Notice of motion was issued in the instant petition on 04.06.2019.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from HC Suba Singh, PS City Kotkapura apprises the Court that the statements of the petitioners as also of respondents No. 4, 5 and 7 (immediate and close relatives of petitioner No.1) have been recorded.

As per statement suffered by petitioners they do not now apprehend any fear to their life and liberty. Respondents No.4, 5 and 7 have stated that they are not opposed the matrimonial alliance entered into

between the parties.

Such state of affairs also perhaps explains the non-appearance of the counsel for the petitioners.

No directions are required to be passed in the instant writ petition and the same is disposed of as infructuous.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

July 23, 2019

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No