

CR No.3881 of 2019
Date of Decision:03.07.2019

SUNITA **...Petitioner**

Versus

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND OTHERS
..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at the time of issuance of notice of motion, inadvertently, a statement had been made by him that the petitioner had not availed the remedy of writ petition to challenge the acquisition proceedings, a fact which is incorrect and has come to his knowledge after the aforesaid statement had been recorded.

In view of above, he seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action after giving complete particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

July 03, 2019

Jyoti-14 Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No