S.No.122

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

****

CRR No.4329 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:06.12.2019

Bhupinder Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Vs.

Varinder Singh and another **.....Respondents**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- None for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajnikant Upadhyay, Advocate
for respondent No.1.
Mr. Yashwant Singh Rathore, Additional Public Prosecutor with Ms. Sudha Singh, Advocate
for UT, Chandigarh – respondent No.2.

****

Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)

A perusal of the previous order dated 31.10.2019 shows that the petitioner has already completed the sentence. However, before taking the final decision in the case, to know the interest of the petitioner as to whether he still intends to contest the petition on merits or not, it was ordered that the counsel who had represented the petitioner earlier, be intimated about the next date of hearing.

The Office report shows that the counsel for the petitioner has been informed. However, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the above, it appears that the petitioner does not intend to contest the petition on merits.

Dismissed as infructuous.

December 06, 2019 **(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)**
renu **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No

RENU
2019.12.06 15:38
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh