

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 26380 of 2019
Date of Decision: 17.10.2019**

Joban Singh @ Ravi Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. H.S. Jalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab

Ms. Swati Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Lalit Narang, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short 'Cr.P.C') for grant of pre arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 20 dated 20.03.2019, under Sections 323, 341, 506, 452, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Khamano, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

On 19.07.2019 this court passed the following order:-

“Contends that petitioner is ready to apologize before the complainant, but the same may not be construed as an extra-judicial confession.

Contention seems to be justified.

Adjourned to 18.09.2019.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner handed over Bankers Cheque amounting to ₹ 5000/-in the name of the complainant, to learned counsel for the complainant in Court today. Copy thereof has been taken on record.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Raghbir Singh, states that in terms of order dated 19.07.2019, petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 19.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 17, 2019

dinesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No