

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-4301-2017

Date of Decision: March 29, 2019

Suba Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Ramendra Jain, J. (Oral)

Through instant revision, petitioner-complainant has laid challenge to judgment dated 17.07.2017 of the Appellate Court, upholding the conviction of respondents no. 2 to 7 (for short “private respondents”) under Sections 325, 324, 323, 148 read with 149 IPC, released them on probation on their furnishing personal probation bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- each for one year to keep peace, harmony and be of good behaviour during this period and granted compensation of Rs.24,000/- to the petitioner-complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that Appellate Court has granted very meagre compensation ignoring the fact that the petitioner had received three grievous injuries. Therefore, the same may be enhanced.

Having given thoughtful consideration to the submission made

by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court finds the instant revision completely devoid of any merit for the reasons to follow.

Appellate Court has power to release a person on probation, if it deems fit. In the instant case, Appellate Court vide its judgment dated 17.07.2017, in its wisdom, granted probation to private respondents while maintaining their conviction under Sections 325, 324, 323, 148 read with 149 IPC, in the manner as narrated above.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to show that during this period or thereafter, private respondents ever committed any overt act, violating the conditions of their probation bonds or committed any other crime. Moreover, private respondents have faced protracted trial for ten years. Therefore, this Court does not find any ground to interfere with the impugned judgment.

In view of discussion above, revision is dismissed.

March 29, 2019
vkd

(Ramendra Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No