

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26354-2019  
Date of decision: 06.08.2019**

**Sukhdev Singh**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab**

**...Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- None.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0132 dated 25.05.2018, registered under Sections 61, 78 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station Jamalpur, District Ludhiana.

The operative part of the order dated 06.06.2019, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the only allegations against petitioner-Sukhdev Singh, is that being the owner of the TATA Tempo bearing No. PB-23-E-8586, from which, the alleged recovery of 174 boxes of Country Made Foreign Liquor have been recovered, had been let out by him on hire and that the recovery has already been effected.

Notice of motion for 06.08.2019.”

Since the Bar is abstaining from appearing before the Court and there is no representation from either of the parties, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 06.06.2019, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, liberty is granted to State to apply for recalling of the order in case the petitioner is found misusing the concession of anticipatory bail.

06.08.2019  
*Waseem Ansari*

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned*

*Yes/No*

*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*