

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

CWP-16392-2019

Date of Decision: 19.11.2019

Sushma and another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr.B.S.Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. N.K.Parmar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anandeshwar Gautam, Advocate
for respondents No.6 and 7.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J.

Both the petitioners are stated to have attained the age of majority. Petitioner No.1 was married to Surender Kumar and out of wedlock, one girl child was born who is a minor. Petitioner No.1 is stated to be in live in relationship with petitioner No.2. The conceded position is that marriage between petitioner No.1 and Surender Kumar has not been dissolved by way of a decree of divorce, from the competent Court.

Instant writ petition was filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents who were stated to be opposed to the liaison/relationship between the petitioners. Private respondents are none other than the parents of petitioner No.1 as also her close relatives.

During the course of hearing today, this Court has even interacted with petitioner No.1 who is present in Court and is identified by counsel. She has clearly stated that she now wishes to go back to her parental home and to pursue the matter with regard to custody of her minor daughter.

Respondents No.6 and 7 are the parents of petitioner No.1, who are present in Court and they are now willing to accept back petitioner No.1 and to support her in future.

Under such circumstances, no further directions are required to be passed in the protection petition.

It would be open for petitioner No.1, who is a major, to choose her further course of action and as has been indicated during the course of hearing today i.e. to go back her parental home.

The petition is disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

19.11.2019
anju

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
- ii) Whether reportable? Yes/No