

CRM-M-26467-2019

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26467-2019

Date of Decision: 01.07.2019

Nitin Chabbra

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Dhivya Jerath, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this fifth petition under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in a case FIR No.51 dated 15.07.2015, registered at Police Station Division No.6, District Jalandhar, under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and gone through the record.

At the time of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner has already deposited an amount of Rs.40,000/- with the complainant-bank and nothing is to be recovered from him.

Perusal of record shows that this is the fifth petition for anticipatory bail filed by the petitioner. No changed circumstances have been mentioned. The first petition filed by the petitioner for anticipatory bail was got dismissed as withdrawn after arguing for sometime, vide order

CRM-M-26467-2019

dated 24.10.2016. He again filed second petition for grant of anticipatory bail, which was dismissed on merits, vide order dated 03.04.2017. Then, the petitioner filed third petition for grant of anticipatory bail, which was also dismissed by this Court vide order dated 01.09.2017, as there was no change in the circumstances. The fourth petition filed by the petitioner was also dismissed with costs of Rs.10,000/-. Now, the petitioner has again filed the present petition for anticipatory bail without any changed circumstances. Rather from the record, it looks that the petitioner, instead of surrendering before the police, is filing petitions time and again for anticipatory bail, which amounts to abuse of the process of court.

Keeping in view the above facts, I find that no ground is made out for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed with costs of Rs.50,000/- to be deposited with learned CJM concerned within one month from today. If the costs is not deposited within the stipulated period, then learned CJM is directed to get it recovered as arrears of land revenue.

Learned CJM concerned is directed to submit report within two months as to whether the amount of Rs.50,000/- is deposited by the petitioner or the same is got recovered as arrears of land revenue. Learned CJM concerned shall also send the report as to whether the costs of Rs.10,000/- as imposed upon the petitioner vide order dated 22.02.2019, has been deposited by him or the same has been got recovered as arrears of land revenue.

Copy of this order be also sent to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, who will send the status report of this FIR by filing his

CRM-M-26467-2019

personal affidavit within one month.

01.07.2019
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No