

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26473 of 2019

Date of decision: September 25, 2019

Sulakhan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Kuljinder Singh Dhindsa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

Mr. Gurpreet Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.61 dated 19.05.2019, under Sections 295, 341, 323, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Dialpura, District Bathinda.

On 06.06.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that petitioner is more than 60 years of age and cannot even walk properly.

Notice of motion returnable on 09.08.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is

also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him, has stated that in terms of order dated 06.06.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 06.06.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

September 25, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No