

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 10454 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 21.02.2019

Sarwan Ram (Retd. Cashier)

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Patiala and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. H.K. Brinda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manuj Chadha, Advocate for the respondents.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

CM-12502-CWP-2018

The present application has been filed to place on record the rejoinder to the reply filed on behalf of the respondents. Copy has already been supplied to learned counsel for the respondents.

The application is allowed and rejoinder is taken on record.

CWP No. 10454 of 2016

Learned counsel for the respondents states that all the benefits for which the petitioner was found entitled for, have already been released to him in November, 2018 itself including the arrears of pension alongwith the difference of the gratuity and the difference of the commuted value of pension as well.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has no

knowledge about the actual release of the amount to the petitioner as being stated by the counsel for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents in support of his contention, has produced the order by which the above payments have been released to the petitioner and copy of the same has also been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present writ petition be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach respondents in case any grievance of the petitioner has been left unaddressed while passing the orders mentioned above.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of that in case any grievance of the petitioner subsists including the grant of interest in case he is entitled for under the law, the petitioner will file a representation with the respondents within a period of four weeks from today and in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the respondents will decide the same by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two months thereafter.

In case the petitioner is found entitled for any monetary benefit after the decision on his representation, the same shall also be released to him within a period of one month thereafter.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

February 21, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*