

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-1491-CWP-2019 in/and  
CWP-10433-2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision-03.04.2019**

Parmjit Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate,  
for the applicant-petitioner(s).

Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

**CM-1491-CWP-2019**

Reply to the CM application filed in the Court, is taken on record.

Heard.

Application is allowed as prayed for and the main case is taken on today's board.

**Main case**

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioners for appointment as Teaching Fellows against the posts reserved for physically handicapped.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, in case, a direction is issued to the respondents to consider their case in the light of the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP-19944-2013, titled as **“Yogita Vs. State of Punjab and others”** (Annexure A-1) and another judgment passed in CWP-21270-2013, titled as **“Davinder Kaur and another Vs. State of Punjab and others”** (Annexure A-2).

Learned State counsel submits that she has no objection in case the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners is accepted.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director Public Instructions (EE), Punjab, PSEB Buidling, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Phase-VIII, Mohali to examine and decide the case of the petitioners in the light of Annexures A-1 and A-2 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

**03.04.2019**  
*atulsethi*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |