

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18151 of 2019

Date of decision: 08.07.2019

Ved Parkash

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Satyaveer Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that son of the petitioner who was working with the respondent as Chowkidar, unfortunately died in March, 2000 in a road accident. Thereafter, the claim of the petitioner for grant of family pension was considered by the respondents and an order was passed in this regard by the respondents on 14.3.2008 (Annexure P/2) granting a family pension of Rs.1275/- per month starting from 27.7.2000. Counsel for the petitioner argues that though, the said order was passed in March 2008, however, in the said order it was mentioned that pension is to be granted from July, 2000 but no arrears of pension have been paid to the petitioner so far despite making various representations. Counsel for the petitioner further argues that even the benefit under the *ex gratia* scheme has not been paid, despite the fact that all the requirements, which were asked, have already been fulfilled by the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been sought in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served the respondents with a representation dated 25.03.2019 (Annexure P-15), which is still

pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to decide the representation dated 25.03.2019 (Annexure P-15), by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case after the decision, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefit, the same shall also be released to her within a period of next three months.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

08.07.2019
aarti

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |