

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.14303 of 2013

Date of decision: 01.10.2019

Dr. Bhag Chand Taneja (Retd.)

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the matter is covered by the decision of this Court in CWP No.10506 of 2002, in case titled as Dr. K.L. Kumar versus State of Haryana and others, decided on 06.10.2009, as has been upheld by Hon'ble the Division Bench of this Court in LPA No.930 of 2013, besides review application filed in the LPA was also dismissed vide order dated 10.08.2010. Moreover, SLP (Civil) No.21087 of 2013, titled as State of Haryana and others vs. Dr. K.L. Kumar and others has also been dismissed vide order dated 12.10.2018, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to the benefits in terms of the decision of this Court in CWP No.10506 of 2002.

2. Learned Sr. DAG fairly states that recommendation has been made to the competent authority for consideration of claim as has been made by the petitioner in the writ petition.

3. In view of the statement of learned Sr. Deputy Advocate General, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms as in CWP No.10506 of 2002, in case titled as Dr. K.L. Kumar vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 06.10.2009. The respondents would take steps to process the claim of the petitioner and release the benefits as admissible in accordance with law within three months from the date of submission of certified copy of this order.

4. Writ petition allowed in the aforementioned terms.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

01.10.2019
rajesh.k.khurana

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |