

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26619 of 2019

Date of decision: July 12, 2019

Prince

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Parminder Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of bail to the petitioner, in FIR No.0084 dated 15.03.2019, under Section 20 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Panchkula Sector 5, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer for granting interim bail to the petitioner for the reason that the report from Chemical Examiner has not been received so far.

The above factual aspect of the matter regarding report has not been disputed by learned State counsel on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has cited the decision of Division Bench of this Court in the case of **Inderjeet Singh @ Laddi**

and others versus State of Punjab, 2014(3) RCR (Criminal) 953 in support of his contention that in such an eventuality, interim bail is to be granted to the accused.

Under the above circumstances and keeping in view the ratio of the above judgment, this petition is disposed off, with a direction that petitioner be released on interim bail, subject to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned. It is clarified that the interim bail shall come to an end automatically, the moment report from Chemical Examiner is received in the trial Court and he would be liable to surrender in the Court forthwith.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

July 12, 2019
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: **Yes**

Whether Reportable: **No**