

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.19627 of 2019
Date of decision:28.08.2019**

Karan Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Lokesh Sharma, Advocate with
Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

One of the prime reasons seeking quashing of the impugned orders is that writ petition involved disputed question of fact and law. The statement and documentary evidence during the disciplinary proceedings have not been appreciated in correct perspective.

In view of aforementioned fact, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave of Court for withdrawal of present writ petition with liberty to file civil suit.

Ordered accordingly.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

August 28, 2019
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No