

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 16121-2019(O&M)

Date of decision: 11.07.2019

Ved Parkash

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Susheel Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Tanuj Sharma, AAG Haryana

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has filed reply in Court. The same is taken on record.

Reply shows that application of the petitioner for parole is still pending and has not been decided by the Commissioner, Karnal Division, Karnal.

In the circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with directions to Commissioner, Karnal Division, Karnal to pass a speaking order on the said application within ten days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

11.07.2019
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No